

# SEI NSO Recovery Plan Science Evaluation

- Evaluates and Synthesizes science
- Evaluating Draft Recovery Plan, scientific reviews and comments, and recent information
- Not a decision-making process
- Not making recommendations on policy
- Panel process

# Information

- Draft Recovery Plan
  - Scientific Reviews
  - Public comment that is scientific
  - Panel meetings
- 
- Goal: determine what is best available science, and advise USFWS accordingly

# Evaluation

- Type of document/ information
- Author caveats
- Panel/team member evaluation
- Group evaluation
- Consensus?

# Timeframe

January Owl ecology issues

February Habitat issues

Draft report

March Administrative record

# Panel - owl

- A.Carey ex USDA-FS
- M. Cody ex UCLA
- J. Franklin UW
- M. Fuller USGS
- R. Gutierrez U Minn
  - B. Kendall USGS
  - J. Nichols USGS
  - K.Pollock NCSU

# Panel - habitat

- A.Carey ex USDA-FS
- J. Franklin UW
- M. Hemstrom USDA-FS
- P. Hessburg USDA-FS
- J. Lehmkuhl USDA-FS
- S. Stephens UCB

# SEI/ Subcontractors

- S. Courtney SEI
- K. Engel Parametrix
- K. Fehring SEI
- L. Sztutowski SEI

# Main Areas of Focus

- Threats
- Barred Owl
- Habitat Fitness Potential
- Responses of NSO to habitat modifications
- Viability of populations
- Assumptions used in modeling populations
- Landscape ecology (fire)

# Housekeeping

- Format - questions and discussion
- Transcripts
- Website